UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/612,198 | 07/01/2003 | Carey Nachenberg | 20423-07775 | 4107 |
| 34415 SYMANTEC/ I | 7590 04/01/200 FENWICK | EXAMINER | | |
| SILICON VAL | | DAVIS, ZACHARY A | | |
| 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2437 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/01/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief |
| Review |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination |
|-------------------------|--|
| 10/612,198 | NACHENBERG ET AL. |
| | Art Unit |
| NASSER G. MOAZZAMI | 2436 |
| T | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for F | Review filed 10 December 2008. |
|--|--|
| Improper Request – The Request is imprope reason(s): | r and a conference will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed con ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other: . | a review is appropriate. |
| The time period for filing a response continues to rule the mail date of the last Office communication, if no | n from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received. |
| held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance where the brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which | terferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ethere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period chever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the d upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date |
| ☐ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | · , |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time. | |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required be | |
| All participants: | |
| (1) <u>NASSER G. MOAZZAMI</u> . | (3) <u>ZACHARY DAVIS</u> . |
| (2) <u>MATHEW SMITHERS</u> . | (4) |
| /Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436 | |